



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaaOn the L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators and their commutators with symbols in α -modulation spacesMasaharu Kobayashi^a, Mitsuru Sugimoto^b, Naohito Tomita^{c,*}^a Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Kagurazaka 1-3, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan^b Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan^c Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Woman's Christian University, Zempukuji, Suginami-ku, Tokyo 167-8585, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 January 2008

Available online 17 September 2008

Submitted by R.H. Torres

Keywords:

 α -Modulation spaces

Besov spaces

Pseudo-differential operators

ABSTRACT

The results of Sjöstrand [J. Sjöstrand, An algebra of pseudodifferential operators, Math. Res. Lett. 1 (1994) 185–192] and Sugimoto [M. Sugimoto, L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators satisfying Besov estimates, I, J. Math. Soc. Japan 40 (1988) 105–122] on a mapping property of pseudo-differential operators are two different kinds of extensions of the pioneering work by Calderón and Vaillancourt [A.P. Calderón, R. Vaillancourt, On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971) 374–378]. The objective of this paper is to show that these two results, which appeared to be independent ones, can be proved based on the same principle. For the purpose, we use the α -modulation spaces, a parameterized family of function spaces, which include Besov spaces and modulation spaces as special cases. As an application, we also discuss the L^2 -boundedness of the commutator $[\sigma(X, D), a]$, where $a(x)$ is a Lipschitz function and σ belongs to an α -modulation space.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since the theory of pseudo-differential operators was established in 1970s, the L^2 -boundedness of them with symbols in the Hörmander class $S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ has been well investigated by many authors. Among them, Calderón and Vaillancourt [5] first treated the boundedness for the class $S_{0,0}^0$, which means that the boundedness of all the derivatives of symbols assures the L^2 -boundedness of the corresponding operators. It should be mentioned that the boundedness of all the derivatives of symbols is not necessary in their proof. Being motivated by this argument, many authors such as Coifman and Meyer [6], Cordes [8], Kato [17], Miyachi [19], Muramatsu [20], Nagase [21], Tachizawa [27] investigated the minimal assumption on the regularity of symbols, and they proved that the boundedness of the derivatives of symbols up to a certain order, which exceeds $n/2$, assures the boundedness on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or more general function spaces) of the corresponding operators. Especially, Sugimoto [25] showed that symbols in the Besov space $B_{(n/2, n/2)}^{\infty, 1}$ imply the L^2 -boundedness.

In the last decade, new developments in this problem have appeared. Sjöstrand [23] introduced a wider class than $S_{0,0}^0$ which assures the L^2 -boundedness and is now recognized as a special case of modulation spaces introduced by Feichtinger [9–11]. These spaces are based on the idea of quantum mechanics or time-frequency analysis. Sjöstrand's class can be written as $M^{\infty, 1}$ if we follow the notation of modulation spaces. Gröchenig and Heil [16] and Toft [28] gave some related

* Corresponding author. Current address: Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan.

E-mail addresses: kobayashi@jan.rikadai.jp (M. Kobayashi), sugimoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp (M. Sugimoto), tomita@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp (N. Tomita).

results to Sjöstrand's one by developing the study of pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces. Boulkhemair [3] treated the same discussion for Fourier integral operators.

We remark that the relation between Besov and modulation spaces is well studied by the works of Gröbner [15], Okoudjou [22], Toft [28] and Sugimoto and Tomita [26], and we know that the spaces $B_{(n/2, n/2)}^{\infty, 1}$ and $M^{\infty, 1}$ have no inclusion relation with each others (see Appendix A) although the class $S_{0,0}^0$ is properly included in both spaces. In this sense, the results of Sugimoto [25] and Sjöstrand [23] are independent extensions of Calderón–Vaillancourt's result.

The objective of this paper is to show that these two results, which appeared to be independent ones, can be proved based on the same principle. Especially we give another proof to Sjöstrand's result following the same argument used to prove Sugimoto's result. For the purpose, we use the notation of α -modulation spaces $M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$), a parameterized family of function spaces, which include Besov spaces $B_s^{p,q}$ and modulation spaces $M^{p,q}$ as special cases corresponding to $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$. The α -modulation spaces were introduced by Gröbner [15], and developed by the works of Feichtinger and Gröbner [12], Borup and Nielsen [1,2] and Fornasier [13].

The following is our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n/2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $\sigma \in M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n/2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The exact definition of the product α -modulation space $M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}$ will be given in Section 2, and the proof will be given in Section 3. Theorem 1.1 with $\alpha = 1$ is the result of Sugimoto [25] while $\alpha = 0$ Sjöstrand [23].

As an important application of Theorem 1.1, we can discuss the L^2 -boundedness of the commutator $[T, a]$ of the operator T and a Lipschitz function $a(x)$. Calderón [4] considered this problem when T is a singular integral operator of convolution type, and Coifman and Meyer [7] extended this argument to the case when T is a pseudo-differential operator with the symbol in the class $S_{1,0}^1$. Furthermore, Marschall [18] showed the L^2 -boundedness of this commutator when the symbol is of the class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ with $m = \rho$, especially the class $S_{0,0}^0$. On account of Theorem 1.1, it is natural to expect the same boundedness for symbols in Besov and modulation spaces. In fact we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|[\sigma(X, D), a]f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n+1), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all Lipschitz functions a , $\sigma \in M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n+1), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.2 with $\alpha = 1$, which requires $\sigma \in B_{(n/2, n+1)}^{\infty, 1}$, is an extension of the result by Marschall [18] which treated the case $\sigma \in B_{(r, N)}^{\infty, \infty}$ with $r > n/2$ and $N > n + 1$. Theorem 1.2 with $\alpha = 0$ is a result of new type in this problem. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz spaces of all rapidly decreasing smooth functions and tempered distributions, respectively. We define the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ and the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot x} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_1\sigma(y, \xi)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2\sigma(x, \eta)$ the partial Fourier transform of σ in the first variable and in the second variable, respectively. That is, $\mathcal{F}_1\sigma(y, \xi) = \mathcal{F}[\sigma(\cdot, \xi)](y)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2\sigma(x, \eta) = \mathcal{F}[\sigma(x, \cdot)](\eta)$. We also denote by $\mathcal{F}_1^{-1}\sigma$ and $\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\sigma$ the partial inverse Fourier transform of σ in the first variable and in the second variable, respectively. We write $\mathcal{F}_{1,2} = \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_1^{-1}\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}$, and note that $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^{-1}$ are the usual Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform of functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

We introduce the α -modulation spaces based on Borup and Nielsen [1,2]. Let $B(\xi, r)$ be the ball with center ξ and radius r , where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. A countable set \mathcal{Q} of subsets $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an admissible covering if $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q$ and there exists a constant n_0 such that $\sharp\{Q' \in \mathcal{Q} : Q \cap Q' \neq \emptyset\} \leq n_0$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. We denote by $|Q|$ the Lebesgue measure of Q , and set $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$, where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$r_Q = \sup\{r > 0 : B(c_r, r) \subset Q \text{ for some } c_r \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \quad R_Q = \inf\{R > 0 : Q \subset B(c_R, R) \text{ for some } c_R \in \mathbb{R}^n\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We say that an admissible covering \mathcal{Q} is an α -covering of \mathbb{R}^n if $|Q| \asymp \langle \xi \rangle^{\alpha n}$ (uniformly) for all $\xi \in Q$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and if there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $R_Q/r_Q \leq K$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Here “ $|Q| \asymp \langle \xi \rangle^{\alpha n}$ (uniformly) for all $\xi \in Q$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ ” means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\alpha n} \leq |Q| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{\alpha n} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in Q \text{ and } Q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Let r_Q and R_Q be as in (2.1). We note that

$$B(c_Q, r_Q/2) \subset Q \subset B(d_Q, 2R_Q) \quad \text{for some } c_Q, d_Q \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.2)$$

and there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$|Q| \geq \kappa \quad \text{for all } Q \in \mathcal{Q} \quad (2.3)$$

since $|Q| \asymp \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{\alpha n} \geq 1$, where $\xi_Q \in Q$. By (2.1), we see that $s_n r_Q^n \leq |Q| \leq s_n R_Q^n$, where s_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . This implies

$$s_n \leq \frac{|Q|}{r_Q^n} = \frac{R_Q^n}{r_Q^n} \frac{|Q|}{R_Q^n} \leq K^n \frac{|Q|}{R_Q^n} \leq K^n s_n,$$

that is,

$$|Q| \asymp r_Q^n \asymp R_Q^n \quad \text{for all } Q \in \mathcal{Q} \quad (2.4)$$

(see [1, Appendix B]). We frequently use the fact

$$\langle \xi_Q \rangle \asymp \langle \xi'_Q \rangle \quad \text{for all } \xi_Q, \xi'_Q \in Q \text{ and } Q \in \mathcal{Q}. \quad (2.5)$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then (2.5) follows directly from the definition of α -covering $|Q| \asymp \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{\alpha n}$. By (2.4), if $\alpha = 0$ then $R_Q^n \asymp |Q| \asymp \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{\alpha n} = 1$, and consequently there exists $R > 0$ such that $R_Q \leq R$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Hence, by (2.2), we have $Q \subset B(d_Q, 2R)$ for some $d_Q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that (2.5) is true even if $\alpha = 0$.

Given an α -covering \mathcal{Q} of \mathbb{R}^n , we say that $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ is a corresponding bounded admissible partition of unity (BAPU) if $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ satisfies

- (1) $\text{supp } \psi_Q \subset Q$,
- (2) $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi_Q(\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- (3) $\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q\|_{L^1} < \infty$.

We remark that an α -covering \mathcal{Q} of \mathbb{R}^n with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ actually exists for every $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ [1, Proposition A.1]. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and \mathcal{Q} be an α -covering of \mathbb{R}^n with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix a sequence $\{\xi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\xi_Q \in Q$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then the α -modulation space $M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}} = \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{sq} \|\psi_Q(D)f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where $\psi(D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \hat{f}] = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi) * f$. We remark that the definition of $M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}$ is independent of the choice of the α -covering \mathcal{Q} , BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ and sequence $\{\xi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ (see [2, Section 2]).

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1]^n, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \psi(\xi - k) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.6)$$

If $\alpha = 0$ then the α -modulation space $M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the modulation space $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, $\|f\|_{M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}} \asymp \|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}}$, where

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{sq} \|\psi(D-k)f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

If $s = 0$ we write $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ instead of $M_0^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\varphi_0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2\}, \quad \text{supp } \varphi \subset \{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}, \quad \varphi_0(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad (2.7)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Set $\varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi(\xi/2^j)$ if $j \geq 1$. On the other hand, if $\alpha = 1$ then the α -modulation space $M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the Besov space $B_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, $\|f\|_{M_{s,\alpha}^{p,q}} \asymp \|f\|_{B_s^{p,q}}$, where

$$\|f\|_{B_s^{p,q}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|\varphi_j(D)f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

We remark that we can actually check that the α -covering \mathcal{Q} with the corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given in [1, Proposition A.1] satisfies

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi_Q(D)f = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi_Q(Dx) \psi_{Q'}(D\xi) \sigma(x, \xi) = \sigma(x, \xi) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.9)$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$\psi_Q(Dx) \psi_{Q'}(D\xi) \sigma = \mathcal{F}_{1,2}^{-1}[(\psi_Q \otimes \psi_{Q'}) \mathcal{F}_{1,2} \sigma] = [(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q) \otimes (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{Q'})] * \sigma$$

and $\psi_Q \otimes \psi_{Q'}(x, \xi) = \psi_Q(x) \psi_{Q'}(\xi)$. In the case $\alpha = 1$, (2.8) and (2.9) are well-known facts, since we can take $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ as a BAPU corresponding to the α -covering $\{|\xi| \leq 2\}, \{2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}_{j \geq 1}$, where $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ is as in (2.7). In the rest of this paper, we assume that an α -covering \mathcal{Q} with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ always satisfies (2.8) and (2.9).

We introduce the product α -modulation space $M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ as symbol classes of pseudo-differential operators. Let $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and \mathcal{Q} be an α -covering of \mathbb{R}^n with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix two sequences $\{x_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}, \{\xi_{Q'}\}_{Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $x_Q \in Q$ and $\xi_{Q'} \in Q'$ for every $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then the product α -modulation space $M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} = \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\psi_Q(Dx) \psi_{Q'}(D\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Since we can take $\{\psi(\cdot - k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ as a BAPU corresponding to the α -covering $\{k + [-1, 1]^n\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ if $\alpha = 0$, we have $M_{(s_1, s_2), 0}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = M_{(s_1, s_2)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2)}^{\infty, 1}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{s_1} \langle \ell \rangle^{s_2} \|\psi(Dx - k) \psi(D\xi - \ell) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is as in (2.6). In particular, $M_{(0, 0), 0}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = M^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Here we have used the fact that $\psi \otimes \psi$ satisfies (2.6) with $2n$ instead of n . Similarly, $M_{(s_1, s_2), 1}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = B_{(s_1, s_2)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\|\sigma\|_{B_{(s_1, s_2)}^{\infty, 1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{js_1 + ks_2} \|\varphi_j(Dx) \varphi_k(D\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}, \{\varphi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ are as in (2.7) (see Sugimoto [25, p. 116]).

We shall end this section by showing the following basic properties of α -coverings:

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{Q} be an α -covering of \mathbb{R}^n and $R > 0$. Then the following are true:*

(1) *If $(Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$\kappa^{-1} \langle \xi_Q \rangle \leq \langle \xi_{Q, Q'} \rangle \leq \kappa \langle \xi_Q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^{-1} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle \leq \langle \xi_{Q, Q'} \rangle \leq \kappa \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle$$

for all $\xi_Q \in Q, \xi_{Q'} \in Q'$ and $\xi_{Q, Q'} \in (Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q'$, where κ is independent of $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$. In particular, $\langle \xi_Q \rangle \asymp \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle$.

(2) *There exists a constant n'_0 such that*

$$\#\{Q' \in \mathcal{Q}: (Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset\} \leq n'_0 \quad \text{for all } Q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Proof. Assume that $(Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$, where $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$.

We consider the first part. Let $\xi_{Q, Q'} \in (Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q'$. Since $\xi_{Q, Q'} = \widetilde{\xi}_Q + \xi$ for some $\widetilde{\xi}_Q \in Q$ and $\xi \in B(0, R)$, we see that $\langle \xi_{Q, Q'} \rangle \asymp \langle \widetilde{\xi}_Q \rangle$. Hence, by (2.5), $\langle \xi_Q \rangle \asymp \langle \widetilde{\xi}_Q \rangle \asymp \langle \xi_{Q, Q'} \rangle$, where $\xi_Q \in Q$. Similarly, $\langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle \asymp \langle \xi_{Q, Q'} \rangle$, where $\xi_{Q'} \in Q'$.

We next consider the second part. It follows from the first part that $|Q| \asymp \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{\alpha n} \asymp \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{\alpha n} \asymp |Q'|$, and consequently

$$|Q| \asymp |Q'| \quad \text{if } (Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $B(c_Q, r_Q/2) \subset Q \subset B(d_Q, 2R_Q)$ and $B(c_{Q'}, r_{Q'}/2) \subset Q' \subset B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'})$, where $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$ (see (2.2)). By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.10), we see that $R_Q \asymp R_{Q'}$ and $R_Q \geq \kappa_1$ for some constant κ_1 independent of $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\neq (Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \subset (B(d_Q, 2R_Q) + B(0, R)) \cap B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'}) \\ &= B(d_Q, 2R_Q + R) \cap B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'}) \subset B(d_Q, (2 + \kappa_1^{-1}R)R_Q) \cap B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining $B(d_Q, (2 + \kappa_1^{-1}R)R_Q) \cap B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'}) \neq \emptyset$ and $R_Q \asymp R_{Q'}$, we obtain that $B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'}) \subset B(d_Q, \kappa_2 R_Q)$ for some constant $\kappa_2 \geq 2$ independent of Q, Q' . Hence, since $c_Q \in B(d_Q, \kappa_2 R_Q)$ and $r_Q \asymp R_Q$, if $(Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$ then

$$Q' \subset B(d_{Q'}, 2R_{Q'}) \subset B(d_Q, \kappa_2 R_Q) \subset B(c_Q, \kappa_3 r_Q), \quad (2.11)$$

where κ_3 is independent of $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_i, i = 1, \dots, n_0$, be subsets of \mathcal{Q} such that $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} \mathcal{Q}_i$ and the elements of \mathcal{Q}_i are pairwise disjoint (see [1, Lemma B.1]). Set $A_Q = \{Q' \in \mathcal{Q} : (Q + B(0, R)) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset\}$. By (2.11), we have

$$\sum_{Q' \in A_Q \cap \mathcal{Q}_i} |Q'| \leq |B(c_Q, \kappa_3 r_Q)| = (2\kappa_3)^n |B(c_Q, r_Q/2)| \leq (2\kappa_3)^n |Q|$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n_0$. Therefore, by (2.10), we see that

$$(\sharp A_Q) |Q| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \sum_{Q' \in A_Q \cap \mathcal{Q}_i} (\kappa_4 |Q'|) \leq \kappa_4 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} (2\kappa_3)^n |Q| = n_0 (2\kappa_3)^n \kappa_4 |Q|,$$

that is, $\sharp A_Q \leq n_0 (2\kappa_3)^n \kappa_4$. The proof is complete. \square

3. Pseudo-differential operators and α -modulation spaces

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. For $\sigma \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the pseudo-differential operator $\sigma(X, D)$ is defined by

$$\sigma(X, D)f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we prepare the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1. (See [25, Lemma 2.2.1].) *There exists a pair of functions $\varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying*

- (1) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\xi) \chi(\xi) d\xi = 1$,
- (2) $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| < 1\}$ and $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi} \subset \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\eta| < 1\}$.

Lemma 3.2. (See [25, Lemma 2.2.2].) *Let $g_\tau(x) = g(x, \tau)$ be such that*

- (1) $g(x, \tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^n)$,
- (2) $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|g(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$,
- (3) $\text{supp } \widehat{g_\tau} \subset \Omega$,

where $\widehat{g_\tau}(y) = \mathcal{F}_1 g(y, \tau)$ and Ω is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n independent of τ . If $h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \tau} g(x, \tau) d\tau$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|h\|_{L^2} \leq C |\Omega|^{1/2} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where C is independent of g and Ω .

Lemma 3.3. (See [25, Lemma 2.2.3].) *Let $\sigma_x(\xi) = \sigma(x, \xi)$ be such that*

- (1) $\sigma_x(\xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$,
- (2) $\text{supp } \widehat{\sigma_x} \subset \Omega$,

where $\widehat{\sigma_x}(\eta) = \mathcal{F}_2 \sigma(x, \eta)$ and Ω is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n independent of x . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \leq C |\Omega|^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\sigma(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where C is independent of σ and Ω .

Lemma 3.4. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a family $\{\sigma_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < 1} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

- (1) $\langle \sigma(X, D)f, g \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \sigma_\epsilon(X, D)f, g \rangle$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
 (2) $\|\sigma_\epsilon\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}}$ for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{S' \times S}$ and C is independent of σ .

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} \subset \{|y| < 1\}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1$. Set $\Phi(x, \xi) = \varphi(x)\varphi(\xi)$, $\Psi(x, \xi) = \psi(x)\psi(\xi)$ and

$$\sigma_\epsilon(x, \xi) = \Phi_\epsilon(x, \xi)(\Psi_\epsilon * \sigma)(x, \xi),$$

where $\Phi_\epsilon(x, \xi) = \Phi(\epsilon x, \epsilon \xi)$ and $\Psi_\epsilon(x, \xi) = \epsilon^{-2n} \Psi(x/\epsilon, \xi/\epsilon)$. Note that $\sigma_\epsilon \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Phi(0, 0) = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \Psi(x, \xi) dx d\xi = 1$. Then the well-known fact $\sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow \sigma$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies (1).

Let us consider (2). If

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon \sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon * \sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\Psi_\epsilon * \sigma)\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \leq C \|\Psi_\epsilon * \sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$, and this is the desired estimate. Let us prove (3.1) and (3.2). But, (3.2) is trivial since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_\epsilon * \sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} &= \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) (\Psi_\epsilon * \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\Psi_\epsilon * (\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\Psi_\epsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{Q} is an α -covering with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We prove (3.1). Noting

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_{1,2} \Phi_\epsilon \subset \{(y, \eta): |y| < \epsilon, |\eta| < \epsilon\} \subset \{(y, \eta): |y| < 1, |\eta| < 1\}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$, we see that

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_{1,2} [\Phi_\epsilon \psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma] \subset \{(y, \eta): y \in Q + B(0, 1), \eta \in Q' + B(0, 1)\}.$$

Since $\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q\|_{L^1} < \infty$, we have by (2.9) and Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\epsilon \sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} &= \sum_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_{\tilde{Q}} \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{\tilde{Q}'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\psi_{\tilde{Q}}(D_x) \psi_{\tilde{Q}'}(D_\xi) (\Phi_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_{\tilde{Q}} \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{\tilde{Q}'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\psi_{\tilde{Q}}(D_x) \psi_{\tilde{Q}'}(D_\xi) [\Phi_\epsilon (\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma)]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q} \\ \tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{Q} \cap (Q+B(0,1)) \neq \emptyset \\ \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{Q}' \cap (Q'+B(0,1)) \neq \emptyset \\ \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}}} \langle x_{\tilde{Q}} \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{\tilde{Q}'} \rangle^{s_2} \\ &\quad \times \|\psi_{\tilde{Q}}(D_x) \psi_{\tilde{Q}'}(D_\xi) [\Phi_\epsilon (\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma)]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C(n'_0)^2 \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{s_2} \|\Phi_\epsilon (\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C(n'_0)^2 \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\sigma\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where n'_0 is as in Lemma 2.1(2). The proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.4, it is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 with $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let φ, χ be as in Lemma 3.1, $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \sigma(X, D)f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varphi \chi)(\xi - \tau) d\tau \right) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \tau} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi + \tau) \varphi(\xi) \chi(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi + \tau) d\xi \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and \mathcal{Q} be an α -covering with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Set

$$\sigma_\tau(x, \xi) = \sigma(x, \xi + \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad f_\tau = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi \widehat{f}(\cdot + \tau)].$$

Then, by (2.9),

$$\sigma_\tau(x, \xi) = \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} [\psi_Q(D_X) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma_\tau](x, \xi) = \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(x, \xi), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(x, \xi) = [\psi_Q(D_X) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma_\tau](x, \xi).$$

Note that $\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$. By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \tau} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma_\tau(x, \xi) \chi(\xi) (\varphi(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi + \tau)) d\xi \right) d\tau = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \tau} \sigma_\tau(X, D) \chi(D) f_\tau(x) d\tau \\ &= \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \tau} \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(X, D) \chi(D) f_\tau(x) d\tau = \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} h_{Q, Q'}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$h_{Q, Q'}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \tau} g_{Q, Q'}(x, \tau) d\tau, \quad g_{Q, Q'}(x, \tau) = \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(X, D) \chi(D) f_\tau(x).$$

We consider $h_{Q, Q'}$, and set $(g_{Q, Q'})_\tau(x) = g_{Q, Q'}(x, \tau)$. Since $\text{supp } \psi_Q \subset Q$, $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{(g_{Q, Q'})_\tau})(y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow y} [e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(x, \xi)] \chi(\xi) \varphi(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi + \tau) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{F}_1 \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}](y - \xi, \xi) \chi(\xi) \varphi(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi + \tau) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_Q(y - \xi) [\mathcal{F}_1(\psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma_\tau)](y - \xi, \xi) \chi(\xi) \varphi(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi + \tau) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\text{supp } (\widehat{(g_{Q, Q'})_\tau}) \subset Q + B(0, 1)$. On the other hand, it is easy to show that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|g_{Q, Q'}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ since

$$\|\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q\|_{L^1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{Q'}\|_{L^1} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (3.6)$$

and $g_{Q, Q'}(x, \tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^n)$ will be proved in the below. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\|h_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^2} \leq C |Q + B(0, 1)|^{1/2} \|g_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C |Q|^{1/2} \|g_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$, where we have used the fact

$$Q + B(0, 1) \subset B(d_Q, 2R_Q) + B(0, 1) \subset B(d_Q, 2R_Q + 1)$$

(see (2.2)). We next consider $g_{Q, Q'}$, and set $\widetilde{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}}(x, \xi) = \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(x, \xi) \chi(\xi)$ and $(\widetilde{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}})_x(\xi) = \widetilde{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}}(x, \xi)$. Then

$$g_{Q, Q'}(x, \tau) = \widetilde{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}}(X, D) f_\tau(x). \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\text{supp } \psi_{Q'} \subset Q'$, $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi} \subset B(0, 1)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}[(\widehat{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'} \chi})_x](\eta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (\mathcal{F}_2 \sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}(x, \cdot)) * \widehat{\chi}(\eta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (\psi_{Q'}(\mathcal{F}_2 \psi_Q(D_x) \sigma_{\tau})(x, \cdot)) * \widehat{\chi}(\eta),$$

we see that $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}[(\widehat{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'} \chi})_x] \subset Q' + B(0, 1)$. On the other hand, (3.6) gives $(\widehat{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'} \chi})_x(\xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$. Thus, by (2.3), (3.8) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{Q, Q'}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} &\leq C|Q' + B(0, 1)|^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\widehat{\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'} \chi}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2} \leq C|Q'|^{1/2} \|\sigma_{\tau, Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi\|_{L^2} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2} \\ &= C|Q'|^{1/2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_\tau\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|g_{Q, Q'}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C|Q'|^{1/2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_\tau(x)|^2 dx \right) d\tau \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= C|Q'|^{1/2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}_\tau(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) d\tau \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= C|Q'|^{1/2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$. Recall that $\langle x_Q \rangle^{\alpha n} \asymp |Q|$ and $\langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{\alpha n} \asymp |Q'|$ for all $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$, where $x_Q \in Q$ and $\xi_{Q'} \in Q'$ (see the definition of an α -covering). Therefore, by (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2} &= \|h\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \|h_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^2} \leq C \left(\sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} |Q|^{1/2} |Q'|^{1/2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \|\psi_Q(D_x) \psi_{Q'}(D_\xi) \sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result. \square

4. Commutators and α -modulation spaces

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We recall the definition of commutators. Let a be a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n , that is,

$$|a(x) - a(y)| \leq A|x - y| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that a satisfies (4.1) if and only if a is differentiable (in the ordinary sense) and $\partial^\beta a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $|\beta| = 1$ (see [24, Chapter 8, Theorem 3]). If T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $T(af)$ and aTf make sense as elements in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since $|a(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$ for some constant $C > 0$. Hence, the commutator $[T, a]$ can be defined by

$$[T, a]f(x) = T(af)(x) - a(x)Tf(x) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we show the following three lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. *Let T be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and a be a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \neq 0$. Then there exist $\epsilon(a) > 0$ and $\{a_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon(a)} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

- (1) $\langle [T, a]f, g \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle [T, a_\epsilon]f, g \rangle$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (2) $\|\nabla a_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}$ for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon(a)$,

where C is independent of T and a , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the L^2 -inner product, and $\nabla a = (\partial_1 a, \dots, \partial_n a)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$. If we set $a_\epsilon(x) = \varphi(\epsilon x)(\varphi_\epsilon * a)(x)$, then $\{a_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon(a)} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (1) and (2), where $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n} \varphi(x/\epsilon)$ and $\epsilon(a)$ will be chosen in the below.

We first consider (2). Since $|a(x) - a(y)| \leq \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} |x - y|$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_i(a_\epsilon(x))| &\leq |(\partial_i \varphi)(\epsilon x) \varphi_\epsilon * a(x)| + |\varphi(\epsilon x) \varphi_\epsilon * (\partial_i a)(x)| \\ &\leq |(\partial_i \varphi)(\epsilon x) (\varphi_\epsilon * a(x) - a(0))| + |(\partial_i \varphi)(\epsilon x) a(0)| + \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq |(\nabla \varphi)(\epsilon x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} (1 + |x|) (1 + \epsilon |y|) |\varphi(y)| dy + \epsilon |a(0)| \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C_\varphi^1 C_\varphi^2 \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} + \epsilon |a(0)| \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$, where $C_\varphi^1 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|) |\nabla \varphi(x)|$ and $C_\varphi^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |y|) |\varphi(y)| dy$. Hence, we obtain (2) with $\epsilon(a) = \min\{\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}/|a(0)|, 1\}$ if $a(0) \neq 0$, and $\epsilon(a) = 1$ if $a(0) = 0$.

We next consider (1). Since a is continuous and $|a(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we see that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} a_\epsilon(x) = a(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $|a_\epsilon(x)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} C_\varphi^2 (1 + |x|)$ for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon(a)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle a_\epsilon T f, g \rangle = \langle a T f, g \rangle$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $a_\epsilon f \rightarrow a f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and consequently $T(a_\epsilon f) \rightarrow T(a f)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.2. Let $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\text{supp } \widehat{\sigma}_x \subset \Omega$, where $\sigma_x(\xi) = \sigma(x, \xi)$, $\widehat{\sigma}_x(\eta) = \mathcal{F}_2 \sigma(x, \eta)$ and Ω is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n independent of x . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\sigma(X, D)f(x)| \leq C |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where C is independent of σ and Ω .

Proof. Since

$$\sigma(X, D)f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) d\xi \right) f(x+y) dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\sigma}_x(y) f(x+y) dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{\sigma}_x(y) f(x+y) dy,$$

we have by Schwartz's inequality and Plancherel's theorem

$$|\sigma(X, D)f(x)| \leq C_n |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\widehat{\sigma}_x\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty} = C_n |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\sigma_x\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.3. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and \mathcal{Q} be an α -covering of \mathbb{R}^n with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for every $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ there exists a constant $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial^\beta (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q)\|_{L^1} \leq C_\beta \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{|\beta|} \quad \text{for all } \xi_Q \in Q \text{ and } Q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\varphi = 1$ on $B(0, 2)$, and set $\varphi_Q(\xi) = \varphi((\xi - d_Q)/R_Q)$, where $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and d_Q, R_Q are as in (2.2). Since $\varphi_Q = 1$ on $B(d_Q, 2R_Q)$ and $\text{supp } \psi_Q \subset Q \subset B(d_Q, 2R_Q)$, we see that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\varphi_Q \psi_Q](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{id_Q \cdot (x-y)} R_Q^n \Phi(R_Q(x-y)) (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q)(y) dy$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, where $\Phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi$. Hence,

$$\partial^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q(x) = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} C_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d_Q^{\beta_1} e^{id_Q \cdot (x-y)} R_Q^{n+|\beta_2|} (\partial^{\beta_2} \Phi)(R_Q(x-y)) (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q)(y) dy$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Since $R_Q \asymp |Q|^{1/n} \asymp \langle \xi_Q \rangle^\alpha$ (see (2.4)) and $\xi_Q \in B(d_Q, 2R_Q)$,

$$|d_Q| \leq |d_Q - \xi_Q| + |\xi_Q| \leq 2R_Q + \langle \xi_Q \rangle \leq C \langle \xi_Q \rangle,$$

and consequently $|d_Q| \leq C \langle \xi_Q \rangle$ for all $\xi_Q \in Q$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Therefore,

$$\|\partial^\beta (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q)\|_{L^1} \leq C \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{|\beta|} \left(\sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \|\partial^{\beta'} \Phi\|_{L^1} \right) \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q\|_{L^1} = C_\beta \langle \xi_Q \rangle^{|\beta|}$$

for all $\xi_Q \in Q$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. The proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\sigma \in M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n+1), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a be a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then, by Theorem 1.1, we see that $\sigma(X, D)$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $[\sigma(X, D), a] = 0$ if a is a constant function, we may assume $\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \neq 0$. Hence, by Lemmas 3.4 and 4.1, we have

$$\langle [\sigma(X, D), a]f, g \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle [\sigma(X, D), a_\epsilon]f, g \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\lim_{\epsilon' \rightarrow 0} \langle [\sigma_{\epsilon'}(X, D), a_\epsilon]f, g \rangle \right)$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\{\sigma_{\epsilon'}\}_{0 < \epsilon' < 1} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{a_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon(a)} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are as in Lemmas 3.4 and 4.1. Hence, it is enough to prove Theorem 1.2 with $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note that

$$[\sigma(X, D), a]f(x) = C_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} (\sigma(x, \xi + \eta) - \sigma(x, \xi)) \widehat{a}(\eta) d\eta \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \quad (4.2)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In fact,

$$\sigma(X, D)(af)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \sigma(x, \eta) \widehat{af}(\eta) d\eta = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \sigma(x, \eta) \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{a}(\eta - \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\eta$$

and

$$a(x)\sigma(X, D)f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \widehat{a}(\eta) d\eta \right) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

We decompose σ and a as follows:

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad a(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(D)a(x), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi) = \psi_Q(D_x)\psi_{Q'}(D_\xi)\sigma(x, \xi)$, \mathcal{Q} is an α -covering of \mathbb{R}^n with a corresponding BAPU $\{\psi_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ is as in (2.7). Then, by the decomposition (4.3),

$$[\sigma(X, D), a] = \sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} [\sigma_{Q, Q'}(X, D), \varphi_0(D)a] + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\sigma(X, D), \varphi_j(D)a]. \quad (4.4)$$

We consider the first sum of the right-hand side of (4.4). By (4.2) and Taylor's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_{Q, Q'}(X, D), \varphi_0(D)a]f(x) &= C_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \int_0^1 \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) dt \right) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{a}(\eta) d\eta \right\} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= C_n \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right\} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1,

$$\|[\sigma_{Q, Q'}(X, D), \varphi_0(D)a]f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n/2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} dt. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that $\partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset \overline{B(0, 2)}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow y} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right] &\subset \{y: y \in Q + \overline{B(0, 2)}\}, \\ \text{supp } \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow \zeta} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right] &\subset \{\zeta: \zeta \in Q'\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_Q\|_{L^1} < \infty$, we have by Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n/2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\tilde{Q} \cap (Q + B(0, 2)) \neq \emptyset \\ \tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{Q}' \cap Q' \neq \emptyset \\ \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}}} \langle x_{\tilde{Q}} \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \langle \xi_{\tilde{Q}'} \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \left\| \psi_{\tilde{Q}}(D_x) \psi_{\tilde{Q}'}(D_\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C \langle x_Q \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $|\chi| \geq 1$ on $\{|\xi| \leq 4\}$ and $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi} \subset \{|x| < 1\}$ (for the existence of such a function, see the proof of [14, Theorem 2.6]). Since $\varphi_0 = \varphi_0 \chi / \chi = \chi(\varphi_0 / \chi)$, we can write $\varphi_0 = \chi \Phi$, where $\Phi = \varphi_0 / \chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \chi(\eta) \Phi(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \\
&= \tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi}(X, D)(\Phi(D)(\partial_k a))(x), \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi}(x, \eta) = \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \chi(\eta)$. Since

$$\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} [\partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta)] = t^{-n} (i\zeta_k/t) e^{i\zeta \cdot \zeta/t} \psi_{Q'}(\zeta/t) \mathcal{F}_2 [\psi_Q(D_x) \sigma](x, \zeta/t),$$

we have

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}[(\tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi})_x] \subset \{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n : \zeta \in tQ' + B(0, 1)\}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $(\tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi})_x(\eta) = \tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi}(x, \eta)$ and $tQ' = \{t\zeta : \zeta \in Q'\}$. On the other hand, by (2.5), (2.8) and Lemma 4.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \sum_{\tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}} \|\partial_{\xi_k} (\psi_{\tilde{Q}'}(D_\xi) \sigma_{Q, Q'})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{Q}' \cap Q' \neq \emptyset} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|[\partial_{\xi_k} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{\tilde{Q}'})] * [\sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \cdot)]\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \sum_{\tilde{Q}' \cap Q' \neq \emptyset} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\partial_{\xi_k} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{\tilde{Q}'})\|_{L^1} \|\sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C \sum_{\tilde{Q}' \cap Q' \neq \emptyset} \langle \xi_{\tilde{Q}'} \rangle \|\sigma_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C n_0 \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle \|\sigma_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi}(x, \eta) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^n)$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$, $0 < t < 1$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, since $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, by (2.3), (4.7)–(4.9) and Lemma 4.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}(x, \xi + t\eta) \varphi_0(\eta) \widehat{\partial_k a}(\eta) d\eta \right| &\leq C |tQ' + B(0, 1)|^{1/2} \left(\sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\tau_{Q, Q'}^{k, t, \xi}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Phi(D)(\partial_k a)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C |Q'|^{1/2} \|\partial_{\xi_k} \sigma_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \Phi\|_{L^1} \|\partial_k a\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{\alpha n/2+1} \|\sigma_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t < 1$. Combining (4.5), (4.6) and (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \|[\sigma_{Q, Q'}(X, D), \varphi_0(D)a]f\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \left(\sum_{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle x_Q \rangle^{\alpha n/2} \langle \xi_{Q'} \rangle^{\alpha n+1} \|\sigma_{Q, Q'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|f\|_{L^2} \\
&= C \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n+1), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \|f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next consider the second sum of the right-hand side of (4.4). Since

$$\varphi_j(D)a(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{jn} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi)(2^j(x-y))(a(y) - a(x)) dy$$

and a is a Lipschitz function, we have $\|\varphi_j(D)a\|_{L^\infty} \leq C 2^{-j} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma(X, D), \varphi_j(D)a\| f \|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|\sigma(X, D)(\varphi_j(D)a)f\|_{L^2} + \|(\varphi_j(D)a)\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n/2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{M_{(\alpha n/2, \alpha n+1), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \|f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Appendix A. The inclusion between Besov and modulation spaces

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and p' be the conjugate exponent of p (that is, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$). In [28, Theorem 3.1], Toft proved the inclusions

$$B_{nv_1(p, q)}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{nv_2(p, q)}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$v_1(p, q) = \max\{0, 1/q - \min(1/p, 1/p')\}, \quad v_2(p, q) = \min\{0, 1/q - \max(1/p, 1/p')\}.$$

Due to Sugimoto and Tomita [26, Theorem 1.2], the optimality of the inclusion relation between Besov and modulation spaces is described in the following way:

Theorem A.1. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following are true:*

- (1) *If $B_s^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $s \geq nv_1(p, q)$.*
- (2) *If $M^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_s^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $s \leq nv_2(p, q)$.*

In particular, we have the best inclusions

$$B_n^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_0^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence, we see that $B_{n/2}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $M^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have no inclusion relation with each others, and $B_{(n/2, n/2)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $M^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ also have the same relation since $\|f \otimes g\|_{M_{(s_1, s_2), \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} = \|f\|_{M_{s_1, \alpha}^{\infty, 1}} \|g\|_{M_{s_2, \alpha}^{\infty, 1}}$ and $M_{(0, 0)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = M^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (see Section 2). We remark that the statement (2) was shown in a restricted case $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ in [26], but it is also true for the endpoint $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$. For example, if we assume that $M^{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_s^{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s > nv_2(\infty, q)$, then we have $M^{p, \tilde{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_s^{p, \tilde{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($2 < p < \infty$) with $s > nv_2(p, \tilde{q})$ by interpolating it with the fact $M^{2, 2} = B_0^{2, 2}$, where $1 < \tilde{q} < \infty$ is a number determined by p and q . This contradicts (2) with $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.

References

- [1] L. Borup, M. Nielsen, Banach frames for multivariate α -modulation spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 321 (2006) 880–895.
- [2] L. Borup, M. Nielsen, Boundedness for pseudodifferential operators on multivariate α -modulation spaces, Ark. Mat. 44 (2006) 241–259.
- [3] A. Boulkhemair, Remarks on a Wiener type pseudodifferential algebra and Fourier integral operators, Math. Res. Lett. 4 (1997) 53–67.
- [4] A.P. Calderón, Commutators of singular integral operators, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 53 (1965) 1092–1099.
- [5] A.P. Calderón, R. Vaillancourt, On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971) 374–378.
- [6] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Asterisque 57 (1978) 1–185.
- [7] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 28 (1978) 177–202.
- [8] H.O. Cordes, On compactness of commutators of multiplications and convolutions, and boundedness of pseudodifferential operators, J. Funct. Anal. 18 (1975) 115–131.
- [9] H.G. Feichtinger, Banach spaces of distributions of Wiener's type and interpolation, in: P.L. Butzer, B.Sz. Nagy, E. Görlich (Eds.), Proc. Conf. Functional Analysis and Approximation, Oberwolfach, August 1980, in: Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., vol. 60, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, MA, 1981, pp. 153–165.
- [10] H.G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups, in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds.), Wavelets and Applications, Chennai, India, Allied Publishers, New Delhi, 2003, pp. 99–140, updated version of a technical report, University of Vienna, 1983.
- [11] H.G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces: looking back and ahead, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 5 (2006) 109–140.
- [12] H.G. Feichtinger, P. Gröbner, Banach spaces of distributions defined by decomposition methods, I, Math. Nachr. 123 (1985) 97–120.
- [13] M. Fornasier, Banach frames for α -modulation spaces, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 22 (2007) 157–175.
- [14] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, Decomposition of Besov spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985) 777–799.
- [15] P. Gröbner, Banachräume Glatter Funktionen und Zerlegungsmethoden, Thesis, University of Vienna, 1992.
- [16] K. Gröchenig, C. Heil, Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 34 (1999) 439–457.
- [17] T. Kato, Boundedness of some pseudo-differential operators, Osaka J. Math. 13 (1976) 1–9.
- [18] J. Marschall, Pseudo-differential operators with nonregular symbols of the class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 12 (1987) 921–965.
- [19] A. Miyachi, Estimates for pseudo-differential operators of class $S_{0,0}$, Math. Nachr. 133 (1987) 135–154.
- [20] T. Muramatsu, Estimates for the norm of pseudo-differential operators by means of Besov spaces, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1256, 1987, pp. 330–349.

- [21] M. Nagase, The L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators with non-regular symbols, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1977) 1045–1061.
- [22] K. Okoudjou, Embedding of some classical Banach spaces into modulation spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 1639–1647.
- [23] J. Sjöstrand, An algebra of pseudodifferential operators, Math. Res. Lett. 1 (1994) 185–192.
- [24] E.M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [25] M. Sugimoto, L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators satisfying Besov estimates, I, J. Math. Soc. Japan 40 (1988) 105–122.
- [26] M. Sugimoto, N. Tomita, The dilation property of modulation spaces and their inclusion relation with Besov spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 248 (2007) 79–106.
- [27] K. Tachizawa, The boundedness of pseudodifferential operators on modulation spaces, Math. Nachr. 168 (1994) 263–277.
- [28] J. Toft, Continuity properties for modulation spaces, with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I, J. Funct. Anal. 207 (2004) 399–429.